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Rationale for focusing on Very High Risk Families
- depressed mothers and their school age children with psychiatric disorders

Role of psychotherapy in addressing maternal depression

Moms Study

Future directions

Overview
Twenty percent of women experience a lifetime episode of depression. Two-thirds are mothers.
Off-spring of depressed parents are at increased risk (2- to 5-fold) for both internalizing and externalizing disorders.
Families At Risk

- **High Risk Family**
  - One generation with psychiatric disorder(s)
  - Second generation at increased risk

- **Very High Risk Family**
  - Two generations with established psychiatric disorders
Impact of Maternal Depression on Children

- Exacerbates child’s course of illness\(^1\)
- Interferes with child’s treatment\(^2\)
- Enduring negative consequences in adulthood\(^3\)

\(^1\) Hammen et al., 1991; \(^2\) Brent et al., 1998; \(^3\) Weissman et al., 2006
Treatment for Very High Risk Families

- Depressed mothers have difficulty managing treatment needs of the family
- Decreased rates of treatment seeking for mothers who put their own needs last

Nicholson, Sweeney, & Geller, 1998; Swartz et al., 2005
Maternal Depression Treatment in High Risk Families

Successful treatment of maternal depression with antidepressant medication has an indirect positive influence on at-risk children.

**Observational data:**
Children of mothers who remitted had lower prevalence of psychiatric disorders and fewer psychiatric symptoms than children of mothers who did not remit.¹

**Randomized trial**
*(escitalopram v. buproprion v. combination):* Improvement in maternal depression symptoms was related to improvement in children’s depressive symptoms only in those whose mothers received escitalopram, a finding mediated by improved parenting.²

¹Pilowsky et al., 2008; Garber et al. 2011 ²Weissman et al., 2015
Questioned Raised by Earlier Studies

- Intervening with Very High Risk Families?
- Role of psychotherapy?
- Mechanism(s) driving reciprocal relationships between mothers and children
Psychotherapy for Maternal Depression in Very High Risk Families

Women with mood disorders endorse threefold preference for psychotherapy over medication\(^1\)

Meta-analysis of effects of psychological treatments for maternal depression: effect size = 0.35\(^2\)

- 8 trials
  - 7 trials involved women with post-partum depression or women with children < age 5
  - 1 trial in Very High Risk Families: compared Interpersonal Psychotherapy (IPT) to treatment as usual\(^3\)

No studies comparing active psychotherapy for Very High Risk Families

\(^{1}\)McHugh RK et al., 2013; \(^{2}\)Cuijpers P et al, 2015; \(^{3}\)Swartz HA et al., 2008
Barriers to Treating Maternal Depression Treatment in Very High Risk Families

Depressed mothers of children in mental health treatment have difficulty engaging in their own mental health treatment¹

- Overwhelmed
- Stigma²
- Custody issues³
- Fragmentation of maternal/child mental health care services⁴
- Limited resources: time and money

¹ Swartz et al., 2005 ² Nicholson et al., 1996; ³ Hearle et al., 1999; ⁴ England et al., 2009
Study Goal

To evaluate the effects of two brief psychotherapies for maternal depression

- Impact on maternal outcomes
- Impact on child outcomes
- Recruited in pediatric mental health settings ("bottom up" sampling)
- Children: Age 7-18, current or recent internalizing disorder (KSADS), receiving MH treatment
- Mothers: current episode of major depressive disorder (DSM-IV; SCID), HRSD-25 ≥15
- Children were treated openly in the community
- Mothers received 9 sessions of Interpersonal Psychotherapy (IPT-MOMS) v. Brief Supportive Psychotherapy (BSP) over 3 months

Swartz et al. JAACAP 2016
IPT-MOMS

- Pre-treatment Engagement Session (1 session)¹
- IPT-B (8 sessions)²
- Specific set of strategies directed toward addressing core issues facing depressed mothers

Interpersonal Psychotherapy (IPT)

Goals:
symptom alleviation & improved social functioning

Builds on empirical findings that interpersonal (IP) issues are linked to depressed mood & that depression impairs IP functioning
The Four IPT Problem Areas

- Role Transition
- Role Dispute
- Grief (complicated bereavement)
- Interpersonal Deficits

Klerman et al., 1984; Weissman et al., 2000
**IPT-MOMS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Define an additional IPT problem area</th>
<th>Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Parenting an Ill Child</td>
<td>• Mourn the old role (parenting a “normal” child)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sub-type of Role Transition</td>
<td>• Normalize ambivalent feelings associated with new role (parenting an ill child)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
<pre><code>                                                             | • Enhance mastery of new role |
                                                             | • Address and alleviate maternal guilt |
</code></pre>

1Swartz et al., unpublished manual
MOTHER

BLAME
IPT-MOMS Strategies

Help mothers to

- Interface more *effectively* with child’s health care providers
- Prioritize self-care
- Build social support
- Find new ways to *positively* connect with child
- Tolerate uncertainties associated with child’s course and prognosis (uncouple child course from maternal course)
Brief Supportive Psychotherapy (BSP)

- Rooted in Rogers’ Client-Centered Therapy$^1$
- Manualized approach with evidence of efficacy$^2$
- Non-directive approach
- Emphasizes patient strengths

$^1$Rogers CR 1951; $^2$Markowitz JC 2014
BSP Strategies

1. Patient determines the therapy agenda
2. Use of reflective listening
3. Open-ended questions
4. Facilitates exploration of affect
5. Empathic support
6. No specific framework for explaining or resolving distress
# Do’s and Don’ts
Brief Supportive Psychotherapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>DO</strong></th>
<th><strong>DON’T</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Make an emotional connection</td>
<td>Problem solve for the patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow affect</td>
<td>Structure the session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Let it linger</td>
<td>Be too active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage catharsis</td>
<td>Interrupt the patient’s feelings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build the alliance</td>
<td>Interpret transference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emphasize patient’s strengths (but not to avoid negative affect)</td>
<td>Assign homework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Give up (or the patient will, too)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Markowitz JC. *Focus*, 2014
Non-Specific Strategies to Engage Depressed Mothers

- Flexible scheduling
- Meet mothers face-to-face at their child’s appointment
- Phone sessions (up to 2/3 of sessions)
- Avoid using the word “depressed” (substitute “overwhelmed”)
- Collaboration with child providers to locate “MIA” moms
## Mothers (n=168)  
**Demographic and Clinical Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>BSP Moms (N = 83)</th>
<th>IPT Moms (N = 85)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>44.6 (6.7)</td>
<td>45.0 (7.8)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>67 (80.7%)</td>
<td>66 (77.7%)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>43 (51.8%)</td>
<td>36 (42.4%)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Income &lt; $30k</td>
<td>25 (30.1%)</td>
<td>28 (32.9%)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On antidepressants, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (4.8)</td>
<td>9 (10.6)</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On anticonvulsants, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (4.8)</td>
<td>5 (5.9)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On benzodiazepines/sedatives/hypnotics, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (2.4)</td>
<td>4 (4.7)</td>
<td>.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime diagnosis of anxiety-DSM-IV, n (%)</td>
<td>59 (71.1%)</td>
<td>59 (69.4%)</td>
<td>.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 3 lifetime major depressive episodes, n (%)</td>
<td>36 (43.4%)</td>
<td>40 (47.1%)</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Child (n=168) Demographic and Clinical Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>BSP Kids (N = 83)</th>
<th>IPT Kids (N = 85)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>13.9 (2.8)</td>
<td>14 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Girls</strong></td>
<td>51 (61.5%)</td>
<td>48 (56.5%)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Y/N KSADS Diagnoses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Externalizing Disorders</td>
<td>34 (41.0%)</td>
<td>44 (51.8%)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of KSADS Diagnoses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Externalizing Disorders</td>
<td>0.6 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internalizing Disorders</td>
<td>1.7 (1.0)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On antidepressants</td>
<td>44 (53%)</td>
<td>36 (42%)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression 25-Item

Time effect $F(4, 503) = 96, p < 0.0001$
Child Depression Inventory (CDI)

Only time is significant $F(4, 438) = 14.9, p < 0.0001$
Columbia Impairment Scale (CIS)
Both groups received ≥6 psychotherapy sessions

87% percent (74/85) -- IPT-MOMS
82% (68/83) -- BSP

Mothers preferred IPT-MOMS over BSP

Mean CSQ scores:
28.6±3.3 -- IPT-MOMS,
26.5±4.8 for BSP
(t=2.8, df=101, 
p=0.006)

BSP children used more mental health services to achieve same outcomes

were more likely to receive antidepressant medication [56% (37/66) v. 38% (26/68); 
χ²=4.3, p=0.04]

had more outpatient mental health visits
[median=9 (IQR=22) v. 6 (IQR=10); Wilcoxon 
Z=1.98; p=0.05]
Therapy Adherence Ratings
Collaborative Study Psychotherapy Rating Scale (CSPRS-6)
Maternal depression scores

Time effect $F(4, 503) = 96, p < 0.0001$

No group differences by treatment

Child impairment scores

Time effect $F(4, 432) = 19, p < 0.0001$

Mothers showed steep improvement from baseline to 3 months

Children steadily improved from baseline to 12 months

Children improved 3-6 months after mothers

Swartz et al. JAACAP 2016
## Relationship Between Change in Maternal Depression and Child Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Concurrent</th>
<th>Lag 1 (3 month)</th>
<th>Lag 2 (6 month)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Association(β)</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Association(β)</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDI</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDQ</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All models included the following co-variates: child age, child gender, family income, presence of externalizing diagnosis (y/n)
## Children with and without Externalizing Diagnoses: Demographic and Clinical Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Without Externalizing Diagnosis (N = 90)</th>
<th>With Externalizing Diagnosis (N = 78)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child Age</td>
<td>14.8 (2.3)</td>
<td>12.9 (2.9)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal Age</td>
<td>45.8 (6.3)</td>
<td>43.3 (8.0)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Girls</td>
<td>62 (69%)</td>
<td>37 (47%)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Depression Inventory, mean (SD)</td>
<td>12.5 (9.3)</td>
<td>13.2 (9.0)</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Impairment Scale, mean (SD)</td>
<td>14.5 (9.1)</td>
<td>17.4 (9.2)</td>
<td>.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire, mean (SD)</td>
<td>12.8 (6.1)</td>
<td>16.9 (6.2)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Children with Internalizing Disorders Only (N=90)

#### Relationship Between Change Maternal Depression and Child Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Concurrent</th>
<th>Lag 3 (3 months)</th>
<th>Lag 6 (6 months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Association(β)</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Association(β)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDI</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDQ</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All models included the following covariates: child age, child gender, family income.
Role of Parenting

- Change in parental depressive symptoms predicted change in child depressive symptoms¹
  - Increasing parental acceptance partially mediated the relationship¹

- Differential effects on child depression symptoms were partially explained by increases in maternal care and affection²

- Among children with internalizing diagnoses only, improvement in positive parenting mediates improvement in child depressive symptoms³

¹Garber et al., 2009; ²Weissman et al. 2015; ³Swartz et al. 2017
Exploring mediators of the relationship between maternal and child outcomes

Examining physiologic factors that are associated with maternal and child risk

Developing dyadic interventions to address the needs of very high risk families

Future Directions
Limitations

Absence of an inactive comparator

Relatively high attrition (27% over 12 months)

Lower child psychiatric services utilization in the IPT-MOMS group resulted from decreased need for services or maternal difficulty in bringing children for care

Fathers were not assessed
Conclusions

High risk, high yield population

Psychotherapy is an effective intervention for maternal depression in very high risk families: both IPT-MOMS and BSP work

But mothers prefer IPT-MOMS

Improvement in maternal depression is associated with improved child functioning, in a lagged fashion—more pronounced in those with internalizing diagnoses only

Difficult to recruit/engage/retain

Active outreach in multiple domains required

When mothers are treated, one can anticipate a 3-6 month delay in improved child functioning—so plan to provide families with extra support during this time period